



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

police power, does not in fact illustrate legislative action in this aspect. The statute there provided for compensation to property owners. The ruling of the Court interpreted the law of eminent domain, and in no way, save by a *dictum*, does it conflict with *St. Louis v. Hill* (1893) 116 Mo. 527, which held that under the police power a legislature cannot regulate the height of buildings along a boulevard (p. 59).

We point to Mr. Russell's treatment of these two cases as an illustration of his general method. He writes in a sketchy manner. His style of exposition is not deep or vigorous. He does not make the reader feel that when rules are stated (*e. g.* pp. 163, 186-189) the author is speaking with authority. As an essay on a most interesting branch of the law, this book is decidedly of the second order. Articles in the American and English Encyclopædia of Law cover the same ground quite as thoroughly and in more succinct form.

Reviews to follow:

A SELECTION OF CASES ON THE LAW OF INSURANCE. Edwin H. Woodruff. New York: Baker, Voorhis & Company. 1900. pp. xiii, 591.

THE CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES. By Francis Newton Thorpe. Chicago: Callaghan & Co. 1901. pp. xxi, 595; xix, 685; xvi, 718.

A SELECTION OF CASES AND STATUTES ON THE PRINCIPLES OF CODE PLEADING, with notes. By Charles M. Hepburn. Cincinnati: W. H. Anderson & Co. 1901. pp. xxxvi, 651.

THE LIABILITY OF MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS FOR TORT. By Waterman L. Williams, A. B., LL.B. Boston: Little, Brown & Co. 1901. pp. xxxix, 345.

A TREATISE ON INJUNCTIONS. By Thomas Carl Spelling. Second Edition. Boston: Little, Brown & Co. 1901. pp. clxxii, 821; 823-1894.

A TREATISE ON FEDERAL PRACTICE. By Roger Foster. Third Edition. Chicago: Callaghan & Co. 1901. pp. clxxxv, 799; xl, 801-1655.

HANDBOOK OF ADMIRALTY LAW. By Robert M. Hughes. St. Paul: West Publishing Co. 1901. pp. xvii, 503.

TWO CENTURIES' GROWTH OF AMERICAN LAW. By Members of the Faculty of the Yale Law School. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons. 1901. pp. xviii, 538.

BAILEMENTS. By Wyatt Paine. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Ltd. 1901. pp. lxxxvi, 550.

A STUDY OF THE UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION. By Horace L. Wilgus. Chicago: Callaghan & Co., 1901. pp. xiii, 222.

A BRIEF ON THE MODES OF PROVING THE FACTS. By Austin Abbott. Second Edition. Rochester: The Lawyers' Co-operative Publishing Co. 1901. pp. xxii, 653.